arXiv:1409.0404v2 [math.SG] 19 Jan 2015

ON THE STRICT ARNOLD CHORD PROPERTY AND COISOTROPIC SUBMANIFOLDS OF COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACE

Fabian Ziltener
Utrecht University, Mathematics Department,
Budapestlaan 6, 3584CD Utrecht, The Netherlands
e-mail: f.ziltener@uu.nl

Let α be a contact form on a manifold M, and $L \subseteq M$ a closed Legendrian submanifold. I prove that L intersects some characteristic for α at least twice if all characteristics are closed and of the same period, and α embeds nicely into the product of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and an exact symplectic manifold. As an application of the method of proof, the minimal action of a regular closed coisotropic submanifold of complex projective space is at most $\pi/2$. This yields an obstruction to presymplectic embeddings, and in particular to Lagrangian embeddings.

Contents

1. Main results 2
1.1. The strict chord property 2
1.2. Minimal action of a regular coisotropic submanifold of complex projective space 5
1.3. Related work 8
1.4. Acknowledgments 9
2. Proof of Theorem 1 (strict chord property) 9
3. Proof of Proposition 3 (contact form) 14
4. Proof of Theorem 6 (minimal action) and of Corollary 8 (presymplectic embedding) 17
Appendix A. Lifting paths 26
Appendix B. Coisotropic subspaces of presymplectic vector spaces 28
References 30

1. Main results

1.1. The strict chord property. Let M be a manifold (possibly noncompact or with boundary) and α a contact form on M. We say that (M, α) has the *strict chord property* iff for every nonempty closed [1] Legendrian submanifold $L \subseteq M$ there exists a characteristic for α [2] that intersects L at least twice. To explain this terminology, note that parametrizing part of such a characteristic, we obtain a *strict Reeb chord*, i.e., an integral curve of the Reeb vector field that starts and ends at different points of L.

Such chords arise in classical mechanics as libration motions, i.e., oscillations of a mechanical system between two rest points, see [**Ci**, p. 118]. The present article is concerned with the following problem.

Problem (strict chord problem)**.** *Find conditions on* (M, α) *under which it has the strict chord property.*

In [**Ar**, p. 11] V. I. Arnol'd conjectured that for $n \geq 2$ any contact form on S^{2n-1} inducing the standard structure has the strict chord property. The main result of this article roughly is that this property holds for every contact form on a manifold if all its characteristics are closed and of the same period and the contact form nicely embeds into the product of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and an exact symplectic manifold. In particular, this confirms Arnol'd's conjecture for the standard form on S^{2n-1}.

To state the result, let M be a manifold and α a contact form on M. The period of a closed characteristic C for α is the number

$$\left| \int_C \iota^* \alpha \right|,$$

where $\iota : C \to M$ denotes the inclusion and we equip C with either orientation. We denote by $q^1, p_1, \dots, q^n, p_n$ the standard coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, and define

$$\lambda_0 := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(q^i dp_i - p_i dq^i \right).$$

Theorem 1 (strict chord property)**.** *The pair* (M, α) *has the strict chord property if all characteristics for* α *are closed and of equal period* T*, and there exist a manifold* W *together with a one-form* λ*, an integer*

[1] This means "compact and without boundary".

[2] This means a leaf of the foliation determined by the integrable distribution $\ker \left(d\alpha : TM \to T^*M \right)$ on M, i.e., an *unparametrized* Reeb trajectory.

$n \geq \frac{1}{2}\dim W + 2$, and an embedding $\varphi : M \to \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times W$, such that $d\lambda$ is a geometrically bounded [3] *symplectic form, and*

$$\dim M = 2n + \dim W - 1, \tag{1}$$

$$\varphi(M) \subseteq \overline{B}^{2n}(T) \times W, \tag{2}$$

$$\varphi^*(\lambda_0 \oplus \lambda) = \alpha. \tag{3}$$

Here $\overline{B}^{2n}(a)$ denotes the closed ball in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ of radius $\sqrt{a/\pi}$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on a result by Yu. Chekanov, which implies that the displacement energy of a closed Lagrangian submanifold in a geometrically bounded symplectic manifold is at least the minimal symplectic action of the Lagrangian.

Assuming by contradiction that there is no strict Reeb chord, such a Lagrangian is constructed from the given Legendrian submanifold by moving it with the Reeb flow. This technique is a variation on the approach used by K. Mohnke in [**Mo**]. (In that article the Lagrangian was obtained by moving the Legendrian both with the Reeb flow and with the Liouville flow.)

A crucial ingredient of the proof is the fact that the displacement energy of a compact subset X of the closed unit ball $\overline{B}^{2n}$ is strictly less than π, provided that X does not contain the unit sphere. (See Lemma 12 below.)

Theorem 1 has the following immediate application. We denote by $\iota : S^{2n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ the inclusion.

Corollary 2 (sphere)**.** *For $n \geq 2$ the standard contact form $\alpha_0 := \iota^*\lambda_0$ on S^{2n-1} has the strict chord property.*

More examples are obtained by the following construction. By an *exact Hamiltonian S^1-manifold* we mean a triple consisting of a smooth manifold W, a smooth S^1-action ρ on W, and an ρ-invariant one-form λ on W, such that $d\lambda$ is non-degenerate. We fix such a triple and numbers $c \in (0, \infty)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. We denote by X the vector field

[3] We call a symplectic form ω on a manifold W geometrically bounded iff there exists an ω-compatible almost complex structure J on W such that the metric $\omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$ is complete with bounded sectional curvature and injectivity radius bounded away from 0. Examples are closed symplectic manifolds, cotangent bundles of closed manifolds, and symplectic vector spaces.

generated by ρ. [4] We define

$$H_0 : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad H_0(x_0) := \tfrac{1}{2}|x_0|^2, \tag{4}$$

$$H := \lambda(X) : W \to \mathbb{R}, \tag{5}$$

$$M := \big\{(x_0, x) \in \big(\overline{B}^{2n}(2\pi c) \setminus \{0\}\big) \times W \;\big|\; H_0(x_0) + H(x) = c\big\}. \tag{6}$$

We denote by

$$\iota : M \to \widetilde{W} := \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times W$$

the inclusion.

Proposition 3 (contact form)**.** *The set M is a (smoothly embedded) hypersurface in $\widetilde{W}$* [5] *, and*

$$\alpha := \iota^*\big(\lambda_0 \oplus \lambda\big) \tag{7}$$

is a contact form on M all of whose characteristics are closed and of period $2\pi c$.

Corollary 4 (strict chord property)**.** *If $(W, d\lambda)$ is geometrically bounded and $n \geq \frac{1}{2}\dim W + 2$ then (M, α) has the strict chord property.*

Proof of Corollary 4. This follows from Theorem 1, using Proposition 3 and the facts that $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_0)$ is geometrically bounded, geometric boundedness is invariant under products, and that conditions (1,2,3) are satisfied with $\varphi := \iota$. □

Example 5. *Let X be a manifold. We define $W := T^*X$ and λ to be the canonical one-form on W. We fix a smooth S^1-action σ on X and define*

$$\rho : S^1 \to \mathrm{Diff}(W), \quad \rho(z)(q,p) := \big(\sigma_z(q), p\, d\sigma_z(q)^{-1}\big),$$

where $\sigma_z := \sigma(z)$. The triple (W, ρ, λ) is an exact Hamiltonian S^1-manifold, and $(W, d\lambda)$ is geometrically bounded. Hence by Corollary 4 the pair (M, α), defined as in (6,7), is a contact manifold that has the strict chord property, provided that $n \geq \frac{1}{2}\dim W + 2$.

Remark. *There exist contact forms on closed manifolds that do not have the strict chord property. The simplest example is the standard contact form on S^1.*

[4] This is the infinitesimal action of the element $1 \in \mathbb{R} = \mathrm{Lie}\, S^1$, where we identify S^1 with $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$.

[5] M may have a boundary.

Another example, which is taken from [**Mo**]*, goes as follows. We denote by* γ *the standard angular form on* S^1 *that integrates to* 2π*. Consider the contact one-form on* $M := S^1 \times S^2$ *given by*

$$\alpha := x_1\gamma + \frac{1}{2}\big(x_2 dx_3 - x_3 dx_2\big),$$

where $x \in S^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$*. Each Legendrian loop* $S^1 \times \big\{(0, x_2, x_3)\big\}$ *intersects each Reeb orbit* $\{z\} \times \{x_1 = 0\}$ *(with* $z \in S^1$*) only once.*

1.2. Minimal action of a regular coisotropic submanifold of complex projective space. The bound on the displacement energy of a compact subset of $\overline{B}^{2n}$, which is used in the proof of Theorem 1, and a coisotropic version of Chekanov's theorem have the following application. Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold and $N \subseteq M$ a coisotropic submanifold.[6]

We denote by N_ω the set of all isotropic (or characteristic) leaves of N [7] , and by $\mathbb{D} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ the closed unit disk. We define the *action spectrum* and the *minimal action (or area)* of N to be

$$S(N) := S(M, N) := S(M, \omega, N) := \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{D}} u^*\omega \,\middle|\, u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{D}, M) : \exists F \in N_\omega : u(S^1) \subseteq F \right\}, \tag{8}$$

$$A(N) := A(M, N) := A(M, \omega, N) := \inf\big(S(N) \cap (0, \infty)\big) \in [0, \infty]. \tag{9}$$

Remarks.

- *In the case* $N = M$ *we have*

$$A(M) = \inf\left(\left\{ \int_{S^2} u^*\omega \,\middle|\, u \in C^\infty(S^2, M) \right\} \cap (0, \infty)\right).$$

  (See [**SZ**, Lemma 29]*.)*
- *If* N *is Lagrangian then*

$$A(N) = \inf\left(\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{D}} u^*\omega \,\middle|\, u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{D}, M) : u(S^1) \subseteq N \right\} \cap (0, \infty)\right).$$

[6] This means that for every $x \in N$ the symplectic complement

$$T_x N^\omega := \big\{ v \in T_x M \,\big|\, \omega(v, w) = 0, \forall w \in T_x N \big\}$$

is contained in $T_x N$.

[7] The set

$$TN^\omega = \big\{ (x, v) \,\big|\, x \in N,\, v \in T_x N^\omega \big\} \subseteq TN$$

is an involutive distribution on N. Hence by Frobenius' theorem it gives rise to a foliation on N. Its leaves are called the isotropic leaves of N.

We call N *regular* iff there exists a manifold structure[8] on N_ω, such that the canonical projection $\pi : N \to N_\omega$ is a smooth submersion.[9] Examples are Lagrangian submanifolds, $N = M$, and the sphere $N = S^{2n-1} \subseteq M = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. (For further examples see [**Zi**].) Regularity is invariant under taking products.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$. We equip the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n$ with the Fubini-Study form ω_{FS}.[10]

Theorem 6 (minimal action)**.** *Let (M, ω) be a geometrically bounded symplectic manifold, and $\emptyset \neq N$ a regular closed coisotropic submanifold of $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n \times M$ of dimension less than $2n$. Then*

$$A(N) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Remarks.

- *The hypothesis that $\dim N < 2n$ cannot be dropped. Otherwise,*

$$M := \{\mathrm{pt}\}, \quad N := \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n, \quad \textit{and}$$

$$M := \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n, \quad \omega := -\omega_{\mathrm{FS}}, \quad N := \left\{(x, x) \,\middle|\, x \in \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n\right\}$$

  are counterexamples.
- *The hypothesis that N be regular cannot be dropped. To see this, let $n \geq 2$. Then there exists a closed hypersurface $N_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ without any closed characteristic, see* [**GG**] *and references therein.*[11] *By shrinking N_0 with a homothety and using a Darboux chart, we otain a hypersurface N inside $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n$ with the same property. It satisfies $A(N) = \pi$ (but is not regular).*

Corollary 7 (minimal action)**.** *Let (M, ω) be a geometrically bounded symplectic manifold, such that $\dim M < 2n$. Then the minimal action of a closed nonempty Lagrangian submanifold of $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n \times M$ is bounded above by $\pi/2$.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 6 and the fact that every Lagrangian submanifold is regular. □

[8]The induced topology is by definition Hausdorff and second countable.

[9]In this case the symplectic quotient of N is well-defined in the sense that this manifold structure is unique and there exists a unique symplectic form on N_ω that pulls back to $\iota^*\omega$ under π. Here $\iota : N \to M$ denotes the inclusion. The manifold structure on N_ω induces the quotient topology on this set.

[10]This form is normalized in such a way that the area of a projective line is π.

[11]In the case $n \geq 3$ this hypersurface may be chosen to be smooth, but for $n = 2$ the hypersurface constructed in [**GG**] is only C^2.

Remark. *By Corollary 7 there is no* exact *Lagrangian submanifold of* $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n \times M$. *By this we mean a Lagrangian submanifold with minimal action equal to* π.

To explain a further application of Theorem 6, recall that a presymplectic form on a manifold M is a closed two-form ω on M, such that

$$\operatorname{corank} \omega_x := \dim (T_x M)^\omega$$

does not depend on $x \in M$.[12] Let ω be such a form. The set

$$TM^\omega = \big\{(x, v) \,\big|\, x \in N,\, v \in T_x M^\omega\big\} \subseteq TM$$

is an involutive distribution on M. Hence by Frobenius' theorem it gives rise to a foliation on M. We denote by M_ω the set of its leaves. We call (M, ω) regular iff there exists a manifold structure on M_ω for which the canonical projection $\pi : M \to M_\omega$ is a smooth submersion.

A presymplectic embedding of a presymplectic manifold into another one is by definition a smooth embedding that intertwines the two presymplectic forms.

Corollary 8 (presymplectic embedding)**.** *Let* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* (M, ω) *be a geometrically bounded symplectic manifold, such that*

$$\int_{S^2} u^*\omega \in \pi\mathbb{Z}, \quad \forall u \in C^\infty(S^2, M). \tag{10}$$

Let (M', ω') *be a nonempty closed regular presymplectic manifold, such that every isotropic leaf of* M' *is simply connected, and*

$$\dim M' + \operatorname{corank} \omega' = 2n + \dim M, \tag{11}$$
$$\dim M' < 2n.$$

Then (M', ω') *does not presymplectically embed into the symplectic manifold* $\big(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n \times M, \omega_{\mathrm{FS}} \oplus \omega\big)$.

Example. *Let* F *be a simply-connected closed manifold of positive dimension and* (X, σ) *a closed symplectic manifold. By Corollary 8 the presymplectic manifold* $\big(X \times F, \sigma \oplus 0\big)$ *does not embed into* $\big(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n, \omega_{\mathrm{FS}}\big)$, *where* $n := \frac{1}{2}\dim X + \dim F$.

Corollary 8 will be proved in Section 4. It has the following immediate application.

[12]Here

$$(T_x M)^\omega = \big\{v \in T_x M \,\big|\, \omega(v, w) = 0,\, \forall w \in T_x M\big\}.$$

Corollary 9 (Lagrangian embedding)**.** *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and (M, ω) be a geometrically bounded symplectic manifold such that (10) holds and $\dim M < 2n$. Then no simply-connected closed manifold embeds into $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n \times M$ in a Lagrangian way.*

1.3. Related work. V. I. Arnol'd observed in [**Ar**] that the strict chord property for (S^3, α_0) follows from an elementary argument. In [**Giv**, Corollary 1] A. B. Givental' proved that there exists a Reeb chord between every pair of Legendrian submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{2n-1}$ with the standard contact form, if they are isotopic via Legendrian submanifolds to the standard $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^{n-1}$.

In [**Ch1**] Yu. Chekanov provided lower bounds on the number of critical points of a quasi-function, i.e., a Legendrian submanifold of the 1-jet bundle of a manifold that is smoothly homotopic (via Legendrians) to the zero section. These points correspond to Reeb chords between the zero section and the Legendrian.

C. Abbas [**Ab1**, **Ab2**, **Ab3**] proved the strict chord property for certain Legendrian knots in tight closed contact 3-manifolds.

We say that a contact form α on a manifold M has the *chord property* iff every closed Legendrian intersects some characteristic for α at least twice or it intersects some closed characteristic (i.e., periodic Reeb orbit). Note that this property is trivially satisfied iff all characteristics are closed.

Consider now a contact manifold (M, ξ) that arises as the boundary of a compact Stein manifold, and α a contact form on M inducing ξ. In [**Mo**, Theorem 2] K. Mohnke proved that α has the chord property. It follows that a nonempty closed Legendrian submanifold of M admits a *strict* Reeb chord if it does not intersect any closed characteristic for α. Intuitively such Legendrian submanifolds are generic, provided that $\dim M \geq 3$ and that α has only countably many closed Reeb orbits.

In [**Ci**] K. Cieliebak proved that Legendrian spheres in the boundaries of certain subcritical Weinstein domains intersect some characteristic for α at least twice.

Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ be a bounded star-shaped domain with smooth boundary and $\emptyset \neq L \subseteq \partial U$ a closed Legendrian submanifold of nonpositive curvature. The last condition means that L that admits a Riemannian metric of nonpositive sectional curvature. In the recent preprint [**CM**] K. Cieliebak and K. Mohnke proved that L possesses a Reeb chord of length bounded above by the (toroidal) Lagrangian capacity of U, see [**CM**, Corollary 1.12]. Using [**CM**, Corollary 1.3], they deduced that

L admits a Reeb chord of length bounded above by π/n, if $n \geq 2$, and $U = B_1^{2n}$, i.e., ∂U is the unit sphere.

As explained in [**CM**] after Corollary 1.13, it follows that there exists no exact Lagrangian embedding into $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n$ of a closed manifold $\emptyset \neq X$ of nonpositive curvature. (Corollary 7 is a stabilized version of this without the nonpositive curvature assumption.)

K. Cieliebak and K. Mohnke also proved that for $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ sufficiently C^1-close to the unit sphere, every closed Legendrian submanifold $\emptyset \neq L \subseteq S$ of nonpositive curvature possesses a strict Reeb chord, see [**CM**, Corollary 1.15].

A powerful tool for finding Reeb chords is Legendrian contact homology. Based on work by Y. Eliashberg, A. Givental, H. Hofer [**EGH**] and Yu. Chekanov [**Ch3**], this homology was developed by F. Bourgeois, T. Ekholm, J. B. Etnyre, J. Sabloff, M. Sullivan, and others, see [**EESu**, **EESa**, **BEE**, **Ek**] and references therein.

Using embedded contact homology, M. Hutchings and C. Taubes [**HT1**, **HT2**] proved that every contact form on a closed 3-manifold has the chord property. Further results are contained in [**Sa1**, **Sa2**, **Rit**, **Me**].

In [**Se**, Theorem 3.1] P. Seidel proved that if a closed manifold X embeds into $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n$ in a Lagrangian way then $H^1\big(X, \mathbb{Z}/(2n+2)\big) \neq 0$. In particular, X is not simply-connected. Corollary 8 extends the latter statement to presymplectic embeddings into $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n \times M$.

P. Biran and K. Cieliebak [**BC1**, **BC2**] generalized Seidel's result in various ways. In the case $\int_{S^2} u^*\omega = 0$, for every $u \in C^\infty(S^2, M)$, Corollary 9 follows from their results. Further references about results on the topology of Lagrangian embeddings are provided in [**BC1**, **BC2**].

1.4. Acknowledgments. I would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/ her useful comments. I would also like to thank K. Cieliebak and K. Mohnke for making me aware of the application regarding the minimal action of a Lagrangian submanifold of $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n$ (Corollary 7).

2. Proof of Theorem 1 (strict chord property)

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the following construction. Let $M, \alpha, T, W, \lambda, n, \varphi$ be as in the hypothesis of Theorem 1, and $L \subseteq M$ a nonempty closed Legendrian submanifold. We construct a Lagrangian immersion in $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times W$ by flowing L with the Reeb flow. It will follow from Theorem 11 and Lemma 12 below that this immersion is not injective. This means that L admits a strict Reeb chord.

We identify

$$S^1 \cong \mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z},$$

and denote by R the Reeb vector field on M w.r.t. α, and by

$$\psi : S^1 \times M \to M \tag{12}$$

its flow. This map is welldefined, since by hypothesis all Reeb orbits of α are closed and of period T. We write

$$\widetilde{W} := \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times W, \quad \widetilde{\lambda} := \lambda_0 \oplus \lambda, \quad \widetilde{\omega} := d\widetilde{\lambda}, \tag{13}$$

and denote by $\iota : S^1 \times L \to S^1 \times M$ the inclusion. We define

$$f := \varphi \circ \psi \circ \iota : S^1 \times L \to \widetilde{W}. \tag{14}$$

Lemma 10. *The map f is a Lagrangian immersion w.r.t. $\widetilde{\omega}$.*

Proof of Lemma 10. Since ψ is the flow of R, we have

$$d\psi(z,x)\big(T_zS^1 \times \{0\}\big) = \mathbb{R}R_{\psi(z,x)}, \quad \forall (z,x) \in S^1 \times M. \tag{15}$$

We show that f **is an immersion**. Since L is Legendrian, we have $TL \subseteq \ker \alpha$. Since the Reeb flow $\psi_z := \psi(z,\cdot)$ preserves α, it preserves $\ker \alpha$, for every $z \in S^1$. It follows that $d\psi\big(\{0\} \times TL\big) \subseteq \ker \alpha$. Let $(z,x) \in S^1 \times L$. Using (15) and the fact $\alpha(R) \equiv 1$, it follows that

$$d\psi(z,x)\big(\{0\} \times T_xL\big) \cap d\psi(z,x)\big(T_zS^1 \times \{0\}\big) = \{0\}. \tag{16}$$

Since ψ is a flow, $d\psi_z(x)$ is injective. It follows from (15) and the fact $R \neq 0$ that $d\big(\psi(\cdot,x)\big)(z)$ is injective. Combining this with (16), it follows that

$$d\psi(z,x) : T_{(z,x)}(S^1 \times L) \to T_{\psi(z,x)}M$$

is injective. Using (14) and that φ is an immersion, it follows that the same holds for f, as claimed.

We show that f is **isotropic**. We define $\omega := d\alpha$. The equalities (3,14) and $\widetilde{\omega} = d\widetilde{\lambda}$ imply that

$$f^*\widetilde{\omega} = d\iota^*\psi^*\varphi^*\widetilde{\lambda} = d\iota^*\psi^*\alpha = \iota^*\psi^*\omega.$$

Therefore it suffices to show that $\psi^*\omega$ vanishes on pairs of vectors in $T(S^1 \times L)$ (over the same point). To see this, note that for every $z \in S^1$ the Reeb flow $\psi_z : M \to M$ preserves ω, since it preserves α. Since L is Legendrian, it is isotropic w.r.t. ω. It follows that $\psi^*\omega$ vanishes on pairs of vectors in $\{0\} \times TL$.

The equalities (15) and $\mathbb{R}R = \ker \omega$ imply that $\psi^*\omega$ vanishes on each pair of vectors in $T(S^1 \times L)$, of which at least one lies in $TS^1 \times \{0\}$.

It follows that $\psi^*\omega$ vanishes on all pairs of vectors in $T(S^1 \times L)$. This shows that f is isotropic.

Equality (1) implies that the domain of f has dimension equal to $\frac{1}{2}\dim \widetilde{W}$. It follows that f is a Lagrangian immersion, as claimed. This proves Lemma 10. □

The proof that the map f is not injective, is based on the next result, which is due to Yu. Chekanov. Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold. We denote by $\mathcal{H}(M, \omega)$ the set of all functions $H \in C^\infty\big([0,1] \times M, \mathbb{R}\big)$ whose Hamiltonian time t flow $\varphi_H^t \colon M \to M$ exists and is surjective, for every $t \in [0,1]$.[13] We define the *Hofer norm*

$$\|\cdot\| \colon \mathcal{H}(M,\omega) \to [0,\infty], \quad \|H\| := \int_0^1 \left(\sup_M H^t - \inf_M H^t \right) dt,$$

and the *displacement energy* of a subset $X \subseteq M$ to be

$$e(X) := e(M, X) := e(M, \omega, X) :=$$
$$\inf \big\{ \|H\| \,\big|\, H \in \mathcal{H}(M,\omega) \colon \varphi_H^1(X) \cap X = \emptyset \big\}.^{14}$$

Let $L \subseteq M$ be a Lagrangian submanifold. We denote by $\mathbb{D} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ the closed unit disk. The *minimal symplectic action (or area) of* L is defined to be

$$A(M, \omega, L) :=$$
$$\inf \left(\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{D}} u^*\omega \,\Big|\, u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{D}, M) :\, u(S^1) \subseteq L \right\} \cap (0, \infty) \right) \in [0, \infty].$$

Theorem 11 (displacement energy of a Lagrangian)**.** *If (M, ω) is geometrically bounded and L is closed then*

$$e(L) \geq A(L).$$

Proof. This follows from the Main Theorem in [**Ch2**]. □

Another key ingredient in the proof that f (defined as in (14)) is not injective, is the following lemma. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote by ω_0 the standard symplectic form on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

[13] The time t flow of a time-dependent vector field on a manifold M is always an injective smooth immersion on its domain of definition. Hence if it is everywhere well-defined and surjective then it is a diffeomorphism of M.

[14] Alternatively, one can define a displacement energy, using only functions H with compact support. However, it seems more natural to allow for all functions in $\mathcal{H}(M, \omega)$.

Lemma 12 (bound on displacement energy)**.** *Let $a > 0$ and X be a compact subset of the closed ball $\overline{B}^{2n}(a)$, which does not contain $S^{2n-1}(a)$, the sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ of radius $\sqrt{a/\pi}$. Then*

$$e\big(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, X\big) < a. \tag{17}$$

Proof of Lemma 12. W.l.o.g. we may assume that $a = \pi$. Since X does not contain S^{2n-1}, there exists an orthogonal linear symplectic map $\Psi\colon \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, such that $(1, 0, \dots, 0) \notin \Psi(X)$. We denote

$$Y_c := \big\{(q,p) \in \mathbb{D} \mid q \le c\big\}.$$

Since $\Psi(X)$ is compact and contained in $\overline{B}^{2n}$, there exists $c < 1$, such that

$$\Psi(X) \subseteq Y_c \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}. \tag{18}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} e\big(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, X\big) &= e\big(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \Psi(X)\big) \\ &\le e\big(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, Y_c \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-2}\big) \\ &\le e\big(\mathbb{R}^2, Y_c\big) \\ &= \operatorname{area}(Y_c) \\ &< \pi \\ &= a. \end{aligned}$$

The fourth step follows from a concrete construction of a Hamiltonian diffeomorphism that displaces Y_c or from a Moser type argument. This proves (17) and hence Lemma 12. □

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $M, \alpha, T, W, \lambda, n, \varphi$ be as in the hypothesis of this theorem, and $L \subseteq M$ a nonempty closed Legendrian submanifold. By hypothesis all characteristics for α are closed, i.e., all Reeb orbits are periodic. Furthermore, their periods are all equal to T. We identify $S^1 \cong \mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z}$ and define $\psi, \widetilde{W}, \widetilde{\lambda}, \widetilde{\omega}, f$ as in (12,13,14).

Claim 1. *The map f is not injective.*

Proof of Claim 1. We denote

$$\widetilde{L} := f\big(S^1 \times L\big),$$

and by

$$\mathrm{pr}_1 : \widetilde{W} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$$

the projection onto the first factor. The hypotheses (1) and $n \geq \frac{1}{2}\dim W + 2$ imply that

$$\dim(S^1 \times L) = 1 + \frac{\dim M - 1}{2} = n + \frac{1}{2}\dim W \leq 2n - 2.$$

Hence by Sard's theorem, it follows that

$$S^{2n-1}(T) \not\subseteq \mathrm{pr}_1 \circ f(S^1 \times L) = \mathrm{pr}_1(\widetilde{L}).$$

On the other hand, hypothesis (2) implies that

$$\mathrm{pr}_1(\widetilde{L}) \subseteq \overline{B}^{2n}(T).$$

Therefore, applying Lemma 12, we have

$$e\big(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{L}\big) \leq e\big(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \mathrm{pr}_1(\widetilde{L})\big) < T. \tag{19}$$

Assume now by contradiction that f was injective. This map is proper, since its domain is compact. Hence it follows from Lemma 10 that f is a Lagrangian embedding. Since $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_0)$ and (W, ω) are geometrically bounded, the same holds for $(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{\omega})$. Therefore, Theorem 11 implies that

$$e\big(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{L}\big) \geq A\big(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{L}\big).$$

Combining this inequality with (19) and the next claim, we arrive at a contradiction.

Claim 2. *We have*

$$A\big(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{L}\big) \geq T. \tag{20}$$

Proof of Claim 2: Let $\widetilde{u} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \widetilde{W})$ be such that

$$\widetilde{u}(S^1 = \partial\mathbb{D}) \subseteq \widetilde{L} = f\big((\mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z}) \times L\big).$$

We show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \widetilde{u}^* \widetilde{\omega} \in T\mathbb{Z}. \tag{21}$$

We define

$$x := \varphi^{-1} \circ \widetilde{u} : S^1 \to \psi\big((\mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z}) \times L\big) \subseteq M.$$

(Recall that $\varphi : M \to \widetilde{W}$ is the given embedding.) The equality $\widetilde{\omega} = d\widetilde{\lambda}$, Stokes' Theorem, and the hypothesis (3) imply that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \widetilde{u}^* \widetilde{\omega} = \int_{S^1} (\varphi \circ x)^* \widetilde{\lambda} = \int_{S^1} x^* \alpha. \tag{22}$$

We define

$$(z, y) := \psi^{-1} \circ x : S^1 \to (\mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z}) \times L.$$

This makes sense, since the restriction of ψ to $(\mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z}) \times L$ is injective. (Here we use our assumption that f is injective.) Since $x = \psi \circ (z, y)$, we have

$$x^*\alpha = \alpha\Big(R \circ \psi \circ (z, y)dz + d\psi_z(y)dy\Big) = dz + \alpha dy = dz.$$

Here we view dz as a real-valued one-form on S^1. In the second equality we used that $\alpha(R) \equiv 1$ and that the Reeb flow ψ preserves α. In the last equality we used that L is Legendrian, and hence $\alpha\big|_{TL} = 0$. It follows that

$$\int_{S^1} x^*\alpha = \int_{S^1} dz = T \deg(z).$$

Using (22), this proves (20), i.e., Claim 2, and therefore Claim 1. □

By Claim 1 there exist distinct points $(z_i, x_i) \in S^1 \times L = (\mathbb{R}/T\mathbb{Z}) \times L$, $i = 0, 1$, such that

$$f(z_0, x_0) = f(z_1, x_1). \tag{23}$$

Recalling the definition (14), our hypothesis that the period of every characteristic equals T, implies that the map $f(\cdot, x_0)$ is injective. It follows that $x_0 \neq x_1$. Using (14,23), it follows that these two points lie on the same characteristic for α. Hence L intersects this characteristic at least twice. This proves Theorem 1. □

Remark. *The above proof relies on the sharp bound for the displacement energy of a closed Lagrangian submanifold due to Yu. Chekanov* [**Ch2**]. *The same result was used by K. Mohnke* [**Mo**] *and later by K. Cieliebak and K. Mohnke* [**CM**, Corollaries 1.4 and 1.5] *to find Reeb chords. The construction of the closed Lagrangian submanifold in the proof of Theorem 1 is a variation on the construction in* [**Mo**, **CM**].

A new feature is that here the Reeb flow alone is used to produce a Lagrangian submanifold, whereas in [**Mo**, **CM**] *both the Reeb flow and the Liouville flow are used. The new approach works because of the upper bound on the displacement energy of a compact subset of a ball given in Lemma 12.*

3. Proof of Proposition 3 (contact form)

The proof of Proposition 3 is based on the following result. Let (W, ρ, λ) be an exact Hamiltonian S^1-manifold, and $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. We denote by

X the vector field generated by ρ and define

$$H := \lambda(X) : W \to \mathbb{R}, \quad M := H^{-1}(c) \subseteq W.$$

We denote by

$$\iota : M \to W$$

the inclusion.

Proposition 13. *The set M is a hypersurface in W, $\alpha := \iota^*\lambda$ is a contact form on M, and all characteristics of α are closed. Their periods are equal to $2\pi c$ if the restriction of the action ρ to M is free.*

Proof of Proposition 13. By hypothesis the form

$$\omega := d\lambda$$

is nondegenerate, i.e., symplectic. We denote by V the Liouville vector field on W w.r.t. λ. This is the unique vector field satisfying

$$\iota_V \omega = \lambda.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} dH \cdot V &= \iota_V d\iota_X \lambda \\ &= \iota_V \mathcal{L}_X \lambda - \iota_V \iota_X d\lambda \\ &= 0 + \iota_X \iota_V \omega \\ &= \iota_X \lambda \\ &= H. \end{aligned}$$

Here in the second line we used Cartan's formula, and in the third line we used our hypothesis that λ is ρ-invariant. It follows that $dH \cdot V \equiv c \neq 0$ along $M = H^{-1}(c)$. Hence c is a regular value for H, M is a hypersurface in W, and the Liouville vector field V is transverse to M. It follows that $\alpha := \iota^*\lambda$ is a contact form on M. By the next claim its characteristics are closed.

Claim 1. *The characteristics of α are the orbits of the restriction of ρ to M.*

Proof of Claim 1. It suffices to show that X is c times the Reeb vector field of α. To see this, note that X is tangent to M, since

$$dH \cdot X = \iota_X d\iota_X \lambda = \iota_X \mathcal{L}_X \lambda - \iota_X \iota_X d\lambda = 0 - 0.$$

By definition, we have

$$\alpha(X) = \lambda(X) \equiv c \text{ on } M = H^{-1}(c).$$

Finally,

$$\iota_X d\alpha = \iota_X d\lambda = \mathcal{L}_X \lambda - d\iota_X \lambda = 0 - dH = 0 \text{ on } TM.$$

It follows that X equals c times the Reeb vector field of α. This proves Claim 1. □

Assume now that the restriction of ρ to M is free. Let C be a characteristic for α. We choose $x_0 \in C$ and define

$$\varphi : S^1 \to C, \quad \varphi(z) := \rho(z, x_0). \tag{24}$$

This is a diffeomorphism, since the restriction of ρ to C is free. We denote by $\iota : C \to M$ the inclusion and by γ the standard angular one-form on S^1, whose integral equals 2π. We have

$$\varphi^* \iota^* \alpha = (\iota \circ \varphi)^* \alpha = \big(\lambda(X) \circ \varphi\big)\gamma = (H \circ \varphi)\gamma = c\gamma.$$

Here in the second step we used the fact that X generates the action ρ, and (24). It follows that

$$\int_C \iota^* \alpha = \int_{S^1} \varphi^* \iota^* \alpha = 2\pi c.$$

Here we equipped C with the orientation induced by the standard orientation on S^1 and the map φ. This proves Proposition 13. □

Proof of Proposition 3. We denote by ρ_0 the standard diagonal S^1-action on $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n$, given by

$$\rho_0(z) z_0 := z z_0 = \big(z z_0^1, \dots, z z_0^n\big).$$

By $\rho_0 \times \rho$ we denote the product S^1-action on $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times W$. We define H_0, H as in (4,5). The triple

$$\big(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{\rho}, \widetilde{\lambda}\big) := \Big(\big(\overline{B}^{2n}(2\pi c) \setminus \{0\}\big) \times W, \big(\rho_0 \times \rho\big)|_{\widetilde{W}}, \lambda_0 \oplus \lambda\Big)$$

is an exact Hamiltonian S^1-action, and

$$\widetilde{H} := H_0 \oplus H = \iota_{\widetilde{X}} \widetilde{\lambda} : \widetilde{W} \to \mathbb{R},$$

where $\widetilde{X}$ denotes the vector field generated by $\widetilde{\rho}$. The set M defined in (6), is given by

$$M = \widetilde{H}^{-1}(c).$$

Since the restriction of ρ_0 to $\overline{B}^{2n}(2\pi c) \setminus \{0\}$ is free, the action $\widetilde{\rho}$ is free. Therefore, by Proposition 13 M is a hypersurface in $\widetilde{W}$, and $\alpha := \iota^* \widetilde{\lambda}$ is a contact form on M all of whose characteristics are closed and of period $2\pi c$. This proves Proposition 3. □

4. Proof of Theorem 6 (minimal action) and of Corollary 8 (presymplectic embedding)

In this section we denote by

$$B^n_r, \quad \overline{B}^n_r, \quad S^{n-1}_r$$

the open and closed balls around 0 in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of radius r, and the sphere around 0 in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of radius r.

The proof of Theorem 6 is based on Lemma 12 (bound on displacement energy) and the following. Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold.

Theorem 14. *Assume that (M, ω) is geometrically bounded. Let $N \subseteq M$ be a closed, regular coisotropic submanifold. Then*

$$e(N) \geq A(N).$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of [**Zi**, Theorem 1.1]. □

Remark. *This theorem generalizes Chekanov's Theorem 11.*

In the proof of Theorem 6 we will also use the following lemma. Let (M, ω) be a presymplectic manifold. Recall that this means that ω is closed two-form on M, and $\operatorname{corank} \omega_x := \dim(T_xM)^\omega$ does not depend on $x \in M$. Let $N \subseteq M$ be a coisotropic submanifold. This means that for every $x \in N$ the space $(T_xN)^\omega$ is contained in T_xN. We denote by $\iota : N \to M$ the inclusion.

Remark 15. *The form $\iota^*\omega$ is presymplectic. That its corank is constant, follows from Lemma 28 and Remark 30 in the appendix.*

By Remark 15 the distribution $(TN)^\omega$ defines a foliation on N. We denote by N_ω the set of its leaves and define the *action spectrum* $S(N) = S(M, N) = S(M, \omega, N)$ and the *minimal action (or area)*

$$A(N) = A(M, N) = A(M, \omega, N)$$

of such a submanifold as in (8,9).

Lemma 16 (lift of coisotropic submanifold)**.** *Let (M, ω) and (M', ω') be presymplectic manifolds, $f : M' \to M$ a surjective proper presymplectic*[15] *submersion, and $N \subseteq M$ a coisotropic submanifold. Then the following statements hold:*

(i) The set $N' := f^{-1}(N)$ is a coisotropic submanifold of M'.

[15]This means that $f^*\omega = \omega'$.

(ii)

$$A\big(M,N\big) \leq A(M',N'). \tag{25}$$

(iii) Assume that N is regular and, for all $x', y' \in M'$,

$$f(x') = f(y') \Rightarrow x' \text{ and } y' \text{ lie on the same isotropic leaf of } M'. \tag{26}$$

Then N' is regular.

Remark. *In fact equality in (25) holds. However, this will not be used here.*

In the proof of Lemma 16 we will use the following. By a presymplectic vector space we mean a vector space together with a skew-symmetric bilinear form.

Lemma 17. *Let (V,ω) and (V',ω') be presymplectic vector spaces, $\Phi : V' \to V$ a linear presymplectic map*[16] *, and $W \subseteq V$ a linear subspace. Then the following statements hold:*

(i)

$$\Phi^{-1}(W^{\omega}) \subseteq \big(\Phi^{-1}(W)\big)^{\omega'}. \tag{27}$$

(ii) If Φ is surjective then the inclusion "$\supseteq$" in (27) holds.

Proof of Lemma 17. This follows from the definitions. □

The proof of Lemma 16(iii) is based on the following. Let M be a (smooth finite-dimensional) manifold and $\mathcal{F}$ a (smooth) foliation on M, i.e., a maximal atlas of foliation charts. We denote by $R^{\mathcal{F}}$ its *leaf relation*. This is the subset of $M \times M$ consisting of pairs of points lying in the same leaf. We call $\mathcal{F}$ *regular* iff there exists a manifold structure[17] on the set of leaves $M/R^{\mathcal{F}}$, such that the canonical projection $\pi^{\mathcal{F}} : M \to M/R^{\mathcal{F}}$ is a (smooth) submersion.

Lemma 18. *Let $(M,\mathcal{F})$ and $(M',\mathcal{F}')$ be foliated manifolds, such that $\mathcal{F}$ is regular. Let $f : M' \to M$ be a smooth surjective submersion such that*

$$x' R^{\mathcal{F}'} y' \iff f(x') R^{\mathcal{F}} f(y'), \quad \forall x', y' \in M'. \tag{28}$$

Then $\mathcal{F}'$ is regular.

[16] This means that $\Phi^*\omega = \omega'$.

[17] The induced topology is by definition Hausdorff and second countable.

Proof of Lemma 18. We define the map

$$\varphi : M'/R^{\mathcal{F}'} \to M/R^{\mathcal{F}}, \quad \varphi(F') := [f(x')],$$

where $x' \in F'$ is an arbitrary point. It follows from (28) that this map is well-defined and injective. Our hypothesis that f is surjective implies that φ is surjective, as well. By our assumption that $\mathcal{F}$ is regular there exists a manifold structure $\mathcal{A}$ on $M/R^{\mathcal{F}}$, for which the canonical projection $\pi^{\mathcal{F}} : M \to M/R^{\mathcal{F}}$ is a smooth submersion. Since f is a smooth submersion and

$$\pi^{\mathcal{F}'} = \varphi^{-1} \circ \pi^{\mathcal{F}} \circ f,$$

the map $\pi^{\mathcal{F}'}$ is a smooth submersion w.r.t. the pullback of $\mathcal{A}$ under φ. Hence $\mathcal{F}'$ is regular. This proves Lemma 18. □

Proof of Lemma 16. **(i):** Since f is a submersion, N' is a submanifold of M'. It follows from Lemma 17(ii) that it is coisotropic. This proves (i).

To prove (ii,iii), we denote by $R^{N,\omega}$ the isotropic leaf relation on N. This is the subset of $N \times N$ consisting of pairs of points that lie in the same isotropic leaf of N.

Claim 1. *(a) If $(x'_0, x'_1) \in R^{N',\omega'}$ then $\big(f(x'_0), f(x'_1)\big) \in R^{N,\omega}$.*
(b) If $x'_0, x'_1 \in N'$ are such that $\big(f(x'_0), f(x'_1)\big) \in R^{N,\omega}$ then

$$N'_{x'_0} \cap f^{-1}(f(x'_1)) \neq \emptyset. \tag{29}$$

Here $N'_{x'_0}$ denotes the isotropic leaf of N' through x'_0.

Proof of Claim 1. Let $x' \in N'$. Since f is a submersion, we have

$$T_{x'}N' = df(x')^{-1}(T_{f(x')}N).$$

Using that f is presymplectic, Lemma 17 therefore implies that

$$(T_{x'}N')^{\omega'} = df(x')^{-1}(T_{f(x')}N)^{\omega}. \tag{30}$$

It follows that $f\big(N'_{x'}\big) \subseteq N_{f(x')}$. This proves **(a)**.

Proof of (b): We choose a path $x \in C^\infty\big([0,1], N\big)$ that is tangent to $(TN)^\omega$ and satisfies $x(i) = f(x'_i)$ for $i = 0, 1$. Since f is a proper submersion, by Proposition 25 in the appendix there exists a path $x' \in C^\infty\big([0,1], M'\big)$ satisfying $x'(0) = x'_0$ and $f \circ x' = x$. It follows that $x'([0,1]) \subseteq N'$. Since $\dot{x}(t) \in (T_{x(t)}N)^\omega$, equality (30) implies that $\dot{x}'(t) \in (T_{x'(t)}N')^{\omega'}$, for every $t \in [0,1]$. It follows that $x'(1) \in N'_{x'(0)}$.

Since $x'(0) = x'_0$ and $f(x'(1)) = x(1) = f(x'_1)$, condition (29) follows. This proves (b) and completes the proof of Claim 1. □

Proof of (ii): Let $u' \in C^\infty(\mathbb{D}, M')$ be such that $u'(S^1)$ is contained in some isotropic leaf of N'. Claim 1(a) implies that $f \circ u'(S^1)$ is contained in some isotropic leaf of N. Since f is presymplectic, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} u'^* \omega' = \int_{\mathbb{D}} (f \circ u')^* \omega.$$

It follows that $S(M', N') \subseteq S(M, N)$, and therefore,

$$A(M', N') \geq A(M, N).$$

This proves (ii).

Proof of (iii): By Claim 1(a) the implication "⇒" in condition (28) with M, M' replaced by N, N', and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^{N,\omega}$, $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F}^{N',\omega'}$, is satisfied. Here $\mathcal{F}^{N,\omega}$ denotes the isotropic foliation on N w.r.t. ω.

To see the opposite implication, let $x'_0, x'_1 \in N'$ be such that the relation $f(x'_0) R^{N,\omega} f(x'_1)$ holds. By Claim 1(b) there exists $y'_1 \in N'_{x'_0} \cap f^{-1}(f(x'_1))$. Since $f(x'_1) = f(y'_1)$, our hypothesis (26) implies that

$$(x'_1, y'_1) \in R^{M',\omega'} \cap (N' \times N') \subseteq R^{N',\omega'}.$$

Since $(x'_0, y'_1) \in R^{N',\omega'}$, it follows that $(x'_0, x'_1) \in R^{N',\omega'}$. This shows the implication "⇐" in (28) with M, M' replaced by N, N', and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^{N,\omega}$, $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F}^{N',\omega'}$. Hence (28) is satisfied. Therefore, applying Lemma 18, it follows that N' is regular. This proves (iii) and completes the proof of Lemma 16. □

In the proof of Theorem 6 we will also use the following lemma.

Lemma 19. *Let (M, ω) be a presymplectic manifold, M' a coisotropic submanifold of M and M'' a coisotropic submanifold of M'. Then the following holds.*

(i) M'' is a coisotropic submanifold of M.
(ii) If M strongly smoothly deformation retracts onto M' then

$$A\big(M', M''\big) \leq A(M, M''). \tag{31}$$

Remarks 20. *(i) That M strongly smoothly deformation retracts onto M' means that there exists a smooth map $h : [0,1] \times M \to M$ such that*

$$h(0, \cdot) = \mathrm{id}, \quad h\big(\{1\} \times M\big) \subseteq M', \quad h(t, x) = x, \, \forall t \in [0,1], \, x \in M'.$$

(ii) The inequality "$\geq$" in (31) is true without the retraction condition. However, this will not be used here.

In the proof of Lemma 19 we will use the following lemma.

Lemma 21. *Let (V,ω) be a finite-dimensional presymplectic vector space, V' a coisotropic subspace of (V,ω), and V'' a coisotropic subspace of $\big(V',\omega':=\omega|_{V'\times V'}\big)$. Then V'' is a coisotropic subspace of (V,ω).*

Proof of Lemma 21. This follows from Lemma 28 in the appendix. □

Proof of Lemma 19. **(i):** This follows from Lemma 21.

We prove (ii). It suffices to show that

$$S(M,M'')\subseteq S(M',M''). \tag{32}$$

Let $u\in C^\infty(\mathbb{D},M)$ be such that $u(S^1)$ is contained in some isotropic leaf of M''. We choose a map h as in Remark 20(i). We denote $h_t:=h(t,\cdot)$ and define

$$f:[0,1]\times\mathbb{D}\to M,\quad f(t,z):=h_t\circ u(z).$$

Using that $d\omega=0$ and Stokes' theorem[18] , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0&=\int_{[0,1]\times\mathbb{D}} df^*\omega\\
&=\int_{\partial\big([0,1]\times\mathbb{D}\big)} f^*\omega\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{D}}(h_1\circ u)^*\omega-\int_{\mathbb{D}}(h_0\circ u)^*\omega+\int_{[0,1]\times S^1} f^*\omega\\
&=\int_{\mathbb{D}}(h_1\circ u)^*\omega-\int_{\mathbb{D}}u^*\omega+0. \qquad (33)
\end{aligned}$$

Here in the last equality we used the fact $h_0=\mathrm{id}$ and that $u(S^1)\subseteq M''\subseteq M'$, and therefore $h_t\circ u|_{S^1}$ is constant in t. Since $h_1(M)=h\big(\{1\}\times M\big)\subseteq M'$, the map $h_1\circ u$ takes values in M'. It is of the sort occurring in the definition of $S(M',M'')$. Hence (33) implies (32). This proves (ii) and completes the proof of Lemma 19. □

In the proof of Theorem 6 we will also use the following lemma.

[18]We use a version of this result that allows the manifold to have corners. See e.g. [**Le**, Theorem 16.25].

Lemma 22. *Let (M,ω) and (M',ω') be presymplectic manifolds, $N \subseteq M \times M'$ a coisotropic submanifold, and $x \in M$, such that* $\dim M > 2$ *and $N \cap \big(\{x\} \times M'\big) = \emptyset$. Then*

$$A\big((M \setminus \{x\}) \times M', N\big) \leq A\big(M \times M', N\big). \tag{34}$$

Remark. *In fact equality in (34) holds. However, this will not be used here.*

Proof of Lemma 22. It suffices to prove that

$$S\big(M \times M', N\big) \subseteq S\big((M \setminus \{x\}) \times M', N\big). \tag{35}$$

Let

$$\widetilde{u} = (u, u') \in C^\infty\big(\mathbb{D}, M \times M'\big)$$

be a map that sends S^1 to some isotropic leaf of N. Since $\dim M > 2$, by Sard's Theorem $M \setminus u(B_1^2)$ is dense in M. Hence an argument in a chart shows that there exists a smooth map $h : [0,1] \times M \to M$, such that

$$h(0,\cdot) = \mathrm{id}, \quad x \notin h\big(\{1\} \times u(B_1^2)\big),$$
$$h(t,\cdot) = \mathrm{id} \text{ in some neighbourhood of } \mathrm{pr}_1(N) \subseteq M.$$

Here we denoted by $\mathrm{pr}_1 : M \times M' \to M$ the canonical projection, and we used the hypothesis that $N \cap \big(\{x\} \times M'\big) = \emptyset$. We denote $h_t := h(t,\cdot)$ and define

$$f : [0,1] \times \mathbb{D} \to M, \quad f(t,z) := h_t \circ u(z).$$

We have, as in (33),

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} (h_1 \circ u)^* \omega = \int_{\mathbb{D}} u^* \omega.$$

Here we used the facts $h_0 = \mathrm{id}$, $u(S^1) = \mathrm{pr}_1 \circ \widetilde{u}(S^1) \subseteq \mathrm{pr}_1(N)$, and $h_t = \mathrm{id}$ in a neighbourhood of $\mathrm{pr}_1(N)$. It follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \big(h_1 \circ u, u'\big)^* \widetilde{\omega} = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \widetilde{u}^* \widetilde{\omega}. \tag{36}$$

Since $x \notin h_1(u(B_1^2))$, the map

$$\big(h_1 \circ u, u'\big) : \mathbb{D} \to \big(M \setminus \{0\}\big) \times M'$$

is of the sort occurring in the definition of $S\big((M\setminus\{x\})\times M', N\big)$. Hence (36) implies (35). This proves Lemma 22. □

In the proof of Theorem 6 we will also use the following.

Lemma 23. *Let (M,ω) be a connected symplectic manifold and $N \subseteq M$ coisotropic submanifold. Then*

$$S(N) + \left\{ \int_{S^2} u^*\omega \,\middle|\, u \in C^\infty(S^2, M) \right\} \subseteq S(N). \tag{37}$$

Proof of Lemma 23. Let $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{D}, M)$ be such that $u(S^1)$ is contained in some isotropic leaf of N, and $v \in C^\infty(S^2, M)$. We choose a point $z_0 \in S^2$.

Claim 1. *There exist maps $\widetilde{u} \in C^\infty\left(\mathbb{D} \setminus B^2_{\frac{1}{2}}, M\right)$ and $\widetilde{v} \in C^\infty(\overline{B}^2_{\frac{1}{3}}, M)$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}\setminus B^2_{\frac{1}{2}}} \widetilde{u}^*\omega = \int_{\mathbb{D}} u^*\omega, \quad \int_{\overline{B}^2_{\frac{1}{3}}} \widetilde{v}^*\omega = \int_{S^2} v^*\omega, \tag{38}$$

$\widetilde{u} = u$ in some neighbourhood of S^1, $\widetilde{u} \equiv u(0)$ in some neighbourhood of $S^1_{\frac{1}{2}}$, and $\widetilde{v} \equiv v(z_0)$ in some neighbourhood of $S^1_{\frac{1}{3}}$.

Proof of Claim 1. We choose a map $f \in C^\infty\left(\mathbb{D} \setminus B^2_{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbb{D}\right)$ that restricts to an orientation preserving diffeomorphism from $\mathbb{D} \setminus \overline{B}^2_{\frac{3}{4}}$ to $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$, equals identity in a neighbourhood of S^1, and sends $\overline{B}^2_{\frac{3}{4}} \setminus B^2_{\frac{1}{2}}$ to 0. We define

$$\widetilde{u} := u \circ f : \mathbb{D} \setminus B^2_{\frac{1}{2}} \to M.$$

This map has the required properties.

To construct $\widetilde{v}$, we choose a map $g \in C^\infty\left(\overline{B}^2_{\frac{1}{3}}, S^2\right)$ that restricts to an orientation preserving diffeomorphism from $B^2_{\frac{1}{4}}$ to $S^2 \setminus \{z_0\}$ and sends $\overline{B}^2_{\frac{1}{3}} \setminus B^2_{\frac{1}{4}}$ to z_0. The map $\widetilde{v} := v \circ g$ has the required properties. This proves Claim 1. □

We choose $\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{v}$ as in this claim. Since M is connected, there exists a path $x \in C^\infty\left(\left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\right], M\right)$, such that $x(0) = v(z_0)$ and $x(1) = u(0)$. We may modify x, such that it is constant in some neighbourhoods of $\frac{1}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$. We define

$$w(z) := \begin{cases} \widetilde{v}(z), & \text{if } z \in \overline{B}^2_{\frac{1}{3}}, \\ x(|z|), & \text{if } z \in B^2_{\frac{1}{2}} \setminus \overline{B}^2_{\frac{1}{3}}, \\ \widetilde{u}(z), & \text{if } z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus B^2_{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{cases}$$

This map is smooth. It follows from (38) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} w^*\omega = \int_{S^2} v^*\omega + 0 + \int_{\mathbb{D}} u^*\omega. \tag{39}$$

Since $w = u$ in some neighbourhood of S^1, the image $w(S^1)$ is contained in some isotropic leaf of N. It follows that $\int_{\mathbb{D}} w^*\omega \in S(N)$. Combining this with (39), the inclusion (37) follows. This proves Lemma 23. □

In the proof of Theorem 6 we will also use the following.

Remark 24. *Let (M,ω) be a symplectic manifold and $N \subseteq M$ a coisotropic submanifold. Then*

$$S(N) = -S(N) = \big\{ -a \,\big|\, a \in S(N) \big\}.$$

This follows from the fact that for every $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{D}, M)$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \overline{u}^*\omega = -\int_{\mathbb{D}} u^*\omega,$$

where $\overline{u}(z) := u(\overline{z})$, for every $z \in \mathbb{D} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$.

Proof of Theorem 6. We denote by

$$\pi : S^{2n+1} \times M \to \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n \times M$$

the canonical projection, by $\iota : S^{2n+1} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ the inclusion, and by ω_0 the standard symplectic form on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. We equip $S^{2n+1} \times M$ with the presymplectic form $\iota^*\omega_0 \oplus \omega$. It follows from Lemma 16(i,ii) that $N' = \pi^{-1}(N)$ is a coisotropic submanifold of $S^{2n+1} \times M$, and

$$A\big(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n \times M, N\big) \leq A\big(S^{2n+1} \times M, N'\big). \tag{40}$$

Since π is proper and N is compact, N' is compact. The manifold $\big(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \setminus \{0\}\big) \times M$ strongly smoothly deformation retracts onto $S^{2n+1} \times M$. Hence by Lemma 19(ii), we have

$$A\big(S^{2n+1} \times M, N'\big) \leq A\big(\big(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \setminus \{0\}\big) \times M, N'\big). \tag{41}$$

Since $n \geq 1$, by Lemma 22 we have

$$A\big(\big(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \setminus \{0\}\big) \times M, N'\big) \leq A\big(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \times M, N'\big). \tag{42}$$

The symplectic manifold $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}$ is geometrically bounded. Using our hypothesis that M is geometrically bounded, it follows that $\mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \times M$ has the same property. Since by hypothesis N is regular, by Lemma 16(iii) with $f = \pi$ the same holds for N'. (Condition (26) with $M' := S^{2n+1} \times M$ is satisfied, since

$$R^{S^{2n+1}\times M} = \big\{ \big((x,y),(zx,y)\big) \,\big|\, (x,y) \in S^{2n+1} \times M,\, z \in S^1 \big\},$$

where we consider S^{2n+1} as a subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and $S^1 \subseteq \mathbb{C}$.) Hence applying Theorem 14, we obtain

$$A\big(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \times M, N'\big) \leq e\big(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \times M, N'\big). \tag{43}$$

We denote by $\mathrm{pr}_1 : S^{2n+1} \times M \to S^{2n+1}$ the projection onto the first factor. We have

$$\begin{aligned} e\big(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \times M, N'\big) &\leq e\big(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2} \times M, \mathrm{pr}_1(N') \times M\big) \\ &\leq e\big(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}, \mathrm{pr}_1(N')\big). \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Our hypothesis $\dim M < 2n$ implies that $\dim N' = \dim N + 1 \leq 2n$. Hence the restriction $\mathrm{pr}_1|_{N'} : N' \to S^{2n+1}$ is not submersive at any point, and therefore the set of its regular values is the complement of its image. Hence by Sard's Theorem $\mathrm{pr}_1(N') \neq S^{2n+1}$. Therefore by Lemma 12 we have

$$e\big(\mathbb{R}^{2n+2}, \mathrm{pr}_1(N')\big) < \pi.$$

Combining this with (40-44), it follows that

$$A(N) = A\big(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n \times M, N\big) < \pi.$$

Hence there exists $a \in S(N) \cap (0, \pi)$. If $a \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ then it follows that $A(N) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, as claimed. Otherwise $-a + \pi < \frac{\pi}{2}$. By Remark 24 we have $-a \in S(N)$. Since there exists $u \in C^\infty(S^2, \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n)$, such that $\int_{S^2} u^*\omega_{\mathrm{FS}} = \pi$, Lemma 23 implies that $-a+\pi \in S(N)$. Since $-a+\pi < \frac{\pi}{2}$, it follows that $A(N) < \frac{\pi}{2}$. Hence in every case we have $A(N) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. This proves Theorem 6. □

Proof of Corollary 8. We denote

$$\widetilde{M} := \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n \times M, \quad \widetilde{\omega} := \omega_{\mathrm{FS}} \oplus \omega.$$

Assume by contradiction that there exists a presymplectic embedding $\varphi : M' \to \widetilde{M}$. We denote $N := \varphi(M')$. It follows from our hypothesis (11) and Lemma 28 in the appendix that N is coisotropic. It is regular, since M' is regular.

Claim 2. *We have*

$$A(\widetilde{M}, N) \geq \pi. \tag{45}$$

Proof of Claim 2. It follows from our hypothesis (10) that

$$\int_{S^2} \widetilde{w}^*\widetilde{\omega} \in \pi\mathbb{Z}, \quad \forall \widetilde{w} \in C^\infty(S^2, \widetilde{M}). \tag{46}$$

Let $\widetilde{u} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \widetilde{M})$ be such that $\widetilde{u}(S^1)$ is contained in some isotropic leaf F of N. We choose a map $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{D})$ that restricts to an

orientation preserving diffeomorphism from $B^2_{\frac{1}{2}}$ to B^2_1 and satisfies $f(z) = z/|z|$ on $\mathbb{D} \setminus B^2_{\frac{1}{2}}$.

The pre-image $\varphi^{-1}(F)$ is an isotropic leaf of M'. By hypothesis it is simply-connected. Hence the same holds for F. It follows that there exists a map $\widetilde{v} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{D}, F)$ satisfying $\widetilde{v} = \widetilde{u}$ on S^1. Modifying $\widetilde{v}$, we may assume that $\widetilde{v}(z) = \widetilde{v}(z/|z|)$ for every $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus B^2_{\frac{1}{2}}$.

We denote by $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ the disk with the reversed orientation and by $\mathbb{D}\#\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ the smooth oriented manifold obtained by concatenating the two disks along their boundary. We define $\widetilde{w} : \mathbb{D}\#\overline{\mathbb{D}} \to \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^n \times M$ to be the concatenation of $\widetilde{u} \circ f$ and $\widetilde{v}$. This is a smooth map. It follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}\#\overline{\mathbb{D}}} \widetilde{w}^*\widetilde{\omega} = \int_{\mathbb{D}} (\widetilde{u} \circ f)^*\widetilde{\omega} - \int_{\mathbb{D}} \widetilde{v}^*\widetilde{\omega} = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \widetilde{u}^*\widetilde{\omega} - 0. \tag{47}$$

Since $\mathbb{D} \cup \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is diffeomorphic to S^2, (46) implies that $\int_{\mathbb{D}\#\overline{\mathbb{D}}} \widetilde{w}^*\widetilde{\omega} \in \pi\mathbb{Z}$. Combining this with (47), inequality (45) follows. This proves Claim 2. □

This claim and the hypothesis $\dim M' < 2n$ contradict Theorem 6. Hence the presymplectic embedding $\varphi : M' \to \widetilde{M}$ does not exist. This proves Corollary 8. □

Appendix A. Lifting paths

The following result was used in the proof of Lemma 16. Let M', M be smooth manifolds, $f : M' \to M$ a smooth proper submersion, $p' \in M'$, and $x \in C^\infty([0,1], M)$.

Proposition 25 (lifting a path)**.** *If $f(p') = x(0)$ then there exists a path $x' \in C^\infty([0,1], M')$, such that*

$$f \circ x' = x, \quad x'(0) = p'.$$

The proof of this lemma is based on the following.

Lemma 26 (locally lifting a path)**.** *Let $t_0 \in [0,1]$ and $H \subseteq TM'$ be a (smooth) subbundle that is complementary to $\ker df$, i.e., satisfies $TM' = H \oplus \ker df$.*

(i) (local existence) If $f(p') = x(t_0)$ then there exists a (relatively) open neighbourhood V of t_0 in $[0,1]$ and a path $x' \in C^\infty(V, M')$, satisfying

$$\dot{x}'(t) \in H_{x'(t)}, \quad f \circ x'(t) = x(t), \quad \forall t \in V, \tag{48}$$

$$x'(t_0) = p'. \tag{49}$$

(ii) (local uniqueness) If V_0, V_1 are open neighbourhoods of t_0 in $[0,1]$ and $x'_0, x'_1 \in C^\infty\big([0,1], M'\big)$ are paths, satisfying (48,49) then there exists an open neighbourhood $V \subseteq V_0 \cap V_1$ of t_0 in $[0,1]$, such that $x'_0 = x'_1$ on V.

Remark 27 (global uniqueness)**.** *For $i = 0, 1$ let $t_i \in [0,1]$ and $x'_i \in C^\infty\big([0,t_i], M'\big)$ be a path satisfying (48) and $x'_i(0) = p'$. Then $x'_0 = x'_1$ on $\big[0, \min\big\{t_0, t_1\big\}\big]$. This follows from Lemma 26(ii).*

Proof of Lemma 26. By using a chart in M we may assume w.l.o.g. that $M = \mathbb{R}^n$. Using the Implicit Function Theorem and our hypothesis that f is a smooth submersion, we may further assume w.l.o.g. that $M' = \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and $f = \mathrm{pr}_2 : \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, the canonical projection.

For $t \in [0,1]$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ we define $X_t(y) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ to be the unique vector, such that

$$\big(X_t(y), \dot{x}(t)\big) \in H_{(y,x(t))}. \tag{50}$$

This vector exists and is unique, since H is complementary to $\ker df = \ker \mathrm{pr}_2$. The family $(X_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ is a smooth time-dependent vector field on $\mathbb{R}^m$. We write $p' = \big(y_0, x(t_0)\big)$.

We prove (i). By the Picard-Lindelöf theorem there exist an open neighbourhood V of t_0 in $[0,1]$ and a smooth solution $y \in C^\infty\big(V, \mathbb{R}^m\big)$ of the ordinary differential equation

$$\dot{y} = X_t \circ y, \quad y(t_0) = y_0.$$

Using (50), the path $x' := (y, x) : [0,1] \to M' = \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies (48,49). This proves (i).

Statement (ii) follows from a similar argument. This proves Lemma 26. □

Proof of Proposition 25. We choose a subbundle $H \subseteq TM'$ that is complementary to $\ker df$. (We may define H to be the normal bundle of $\ker df$ with respect to some Riemannian metric.) We define

$$y' := \bigcup \big\{ x' \,\big|\, t_1 \in [0,1],\, x' \in C^\infty\big([0,t_1], M'\big) : \\ (48) \text{ with } V = [0,t_1],\, x'(0) = p' \big\} \subseteq [0,1] \times M'. \tag{51}$$

It follows from Remark 27 that there exists $t_0 \in [0,1]$ such that y' is a smooth map from $[0,t_0)$ or $[0,t_0]$ to M'. Proposition 25 is a consequence of the following claim.

Claim 1. *The domain of y' is $[0,1]$.*

Proof of Claim 1: We define

$$X := \big\{(x',v)\,\big|\,v \in T_{f(x')}M\big\}, \quad \Phi : X \to H,\ \Phi_{x'}v := \Phi(x',v) := v',$$

where $v' \in H_{x'}$ is the unique vector satisfying $df(x')v' = v$. Since $df(x')$ is surjective and $T_{x'}M' = H_{x'} \oplus \ker df(x')$, this vector exists and is unique, hence Φ is well-defined. This map is smooth, since H is smooth. We choose Riemannian metrics g on M and g' on M'. Since f is proper, the pre-image $K' := f^{-1}\big(x([0,1])\big) \subseteq M'$ is compact. Therefore,

$$C := \sup\big\{\big|\Phi_{x'}\big|\,\big|\,x' \in K'\big\} < \infty.$$

Here $|\Phi_{x'}|$ denotes the operator norm of the linear map $\Phi_{x'} : T_{f(x')}M \to T_{x'}M'$ w.r.t. the norms induced by g and g'.

Let $t \in [0,t_0)$. By (48) we have $\dot{y}'(t) \in H_{y'(t)}$ and $df(y'(t))\dot{y}'(t) = \dot{x}(t)$, and therefore

$$\dot{y}'(t) = \Phi_{y'(t)}\dot{x}(t).$$

Since $y'\big([0,t_0)\big) \subseteq K'$, it follows that

$$\big|\dot{y}'(t)\big| \le C\big|\dot{x}(t)\big| \le C \max_{t\in[0,1]} \big|\dot{x}(t)\big|.$$

It follows that $y'(t)$ converges to some point y'_0, as $t \uparrow t_0$.

Assume now by contradiction that the domain of y' is not equal to $[0,1]$. We choose V, x' as in Lemma 26(i), with p' replaced by y'_0. Concatenating y' with x', we obtain a solution z' of (48) with V replaced by an interval that strictly contains the domain of y', such that $z'(0) = p'$. (Here we use Lemma 26(ii), which ensures that x' and y' agree on the intersection of V with the domain of y', if we shrink V.) By (51) we have $z' \subseteq y'$. This is a contradiction. It follows that the domain of y' is equal to $[0,1]$. This proves Claim 1 and completes the proof of Proposition 25. □

Appendix B. Coisotropic subspaces of presymplectic vector spaces

The following lemma was used in the proof of Lemma 21. Let (V,ω) be a finite-dimensional presymplectic vector space and $W \subseteq V$ a linear subspace. We denote by $i : W \to V$ the inclusion, and by

$$W^\omega := \big\{v \in V\,\big|\,\omega(v,w) = 0,\ \forall w \in W\big\}$$

the presymplectic complement of W in V.

Lemma 28. *The subspace W is coisotropic iff*

$$\dim W + \dim W^{i^*\omega} \geq \dim V + \dim V^\omega.^{19} \tag{52}$$

The proof of this lemma is based on the following.

Lemma 29. *We have*

$$\dim W + \dim W^\omega = \dim V + \dim \big(V^\omega \cap W\big). \tag{53}$$

Remark 30. *Since $W^{i^*\omega} \subseteq W^\omega$, Lemma 29 implies that inequality "$\leq$" in (52) holds, for every linear subspace W.*

Proof of Lemma 29. We define the linear map

$$\flat_\omega : V \to V^*, \quad \flat_\omega v := \omega(v, \cdot).$$

Then $W^\omega = \ker(i^*\flat_\omega)$, and therefore,

$$\dim \operatorname{im}(i^*\flat_\omega) + \dim W^\omega = \dim V. \tag{54}$$

Consider the canonical isomorphism $\iota : V \to V^{**}$, $\iota(v)(\varphi) := \varphi(v)$. A direct calculation shows that $(\flat_\omega)^*\iota = -\flat_\omega$. It follows that $(\flat_\omega i)^*\iota = -i^*\flat_\omega$, and therefore

$$\dim \operatorname{im}(\flat_\omega i) = \dim \operatorname{im}(\flat_\omega i)^* = \dim \operatorname{im}\big((\flat_\omega i)^*\iota\big) = \dim \operatorname{im}(i^*\flat_\omega).$$

Combining this with (54), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dim W + \dim W^\omega &= \dim \ker(\flat_\omega i) + \dim \operatorname{im}(\flat_\omega i) + \dim W^\omega \\ &= \dim \ker(\flat_\omega i) + \dim V. \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Since $\ker(\flat_\omega i) = V^\omega \cap W$, equality (53) follows. This proves Lemma 29. □

Proof of Lemma 28. **We prove "⇒".** Assume that W is coisotropic. Then $W^\omega \subseteq W^{i^*\omega}$ and therefore, using Lemma 29, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim W + \dim W^{i^*\omega} &\geq \dim W + \dim W^\omega \\ &= \dim V + \dim \big(V^\omega \cap W\big). \end{aligned}$$

Since $V^\omega \subseteq W^\omega \subseteq W$, inequality (52) follows. This proves "⇒".

[19] By definition, $W^{i^*\omega}$ is the presymplectic complement of W inside W.

To prove the opposite implication, assume that (52) holds. Using Lemma 29, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\dim W^\omega &= \dim V - \dim W + \dim\left(V^\omega \cap W\right)\\ &\leq \dim W^{i^*\omega} - \dim V^\omega + \dim\left(V^\omega \cap W\right)\\ &\leq \dim W^{i^*\omega}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $W^\omega \supseteq W^{i^*\omega}$, it follows that $W^\omega = W^{i^*\omega} \subseteq W$. Therefore, W is coisotropic. This proves "$\Leftarrow$" and completes the proof of Lemma 28. □

References

[Ab1] C. Abbas, *A note on V. I. Arnold's chord conjecture.* Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1999, **no. 4**, 217–222.

[Ab2] C. Abbas, *Finite energy surfaces and the chord problem*, Duke Math. J. **96** (1999), **no. 2**, 241–316.

[Ab3] C. Abbas, *The chord problem and a new method of filling by pseudoholomorphic curves*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2004, **no. 18**, 913–927.

[Ar] V. I. Arnol'd, *The first steps of symplectic topology*, (Russian) Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **41** (1986), **no. 6 (252)**, 3–18, 229.

[BC1] P. Biran, K. Cieliebak, *Symplectic topology on subcritical manifolds*, Comment. Math. Helv. **76** (2001), **no. 4**, 712–753.

[BC2] P. Biran, K. Cieliebak, *Lagrangian embeddings into subcritical Stein manifolds*, Israel J. Math. **127** (2002), 221–244.

[BEE] F. Bourgeois, T. Ekholm, and Y. Eliashberg, *Effect of Legendrian surgery*, with an appendix by S. Ganatra and M. Maydanskiy, Geom. Topol. **16** (2012), **no. 1**, 301–389.

[Ch1] Yu. Chekanov, *Critical points of quasifunctions, and generating families of Legendrian manifolds*, (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **30** (1996), **no. 2**, 56–69, 96; translation in Funct. Anal. Appl. **30** (1996), **no. 2**, 118–128.

[Ch2] Yu. Chekanov, *Lagrangian intersections, symplectic energy, and areas of holomorphic curves*, Duke Math. J. **95** (1998), **no. 1**, 213-226.

[Ch3] Yu. Chekanov, *Differential algebra of Legendrian links*, Invent. Math. **150** (2002), **no. 3**, 441–483.

[Ci] K. Cieliebak, *Handle attaching in symplectic homology and the chord conjecture*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. **4** (2002), **no. 2**, 115–142.

[CM] K. Cieliebak and K. Mohnke, *Punctured holomorphic curves and Lagrangian embeddings*, arXiv:1411.1870v2.

[Ek] T. Ekholm, *Rational SFT, linearized Legendrian contact homology, and Lagrangian Floer cohomology*, Perspectives in analysis, geometry, and topology, 109–145, Progr. Math., **296**, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2012.

[EESa] T. Ekholm, J. B. Etnyre, J. Sabloff, *A duality exact sequence for Legendrian contact homology*, Duke Math. J. **150** (2009), **no. 1**, 1–75.

[EESu] T. Ekholm, J. B. Etnyre, M. Sullivan, *Legendrian contact homology in* $P \times \mathbb{R}$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), **no. 7**, 3301–3335.

[EGH] Y. Eliashberg, A. Givental, H. Hofer, *Introduction to symplectic field theory*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 2000, Special Volume, Part **II**, 560–673.

[GG] V. L. Ginzburg and B. Gürel, *A* C^2*-smooth counterexample to the Hamiltonian Seifert Conjecture in* $\mathbb{R}^4$, Ann. Math., Second Series, Vol. **158**, no. **3** (2003), 953–976.

[Giv] A. B. Givental', *The nonlinear Maslov index*, Geometry of low-dimensional manifolds, 2 (Durham, 1989), 35–43, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **151**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[HT1] M. Hutchings and C.H. Taubes, *Proof of the Arnold chord conjecture in three dimensions, I*, Math. Res. Lett. **18** (2011), **no. 2**, 295–313.

[HT2] M. Hutchings and C.H. Taubes, *Proof of the Arnold chord conjecture in three dimensions, II*, Geom. Topol. **17** (2013), **no. 5**, 2601–2688.

[Le] J. M. Lee, *Introduction to smooth manifolds*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **218**. Springer, New York, 2013. xvi+708 pp.

[Me] W. J. Merry, *Lagrangian Rabinowitz Floer homology and twisted cotangent bundles*, Geom. Dedicata **171** (2014), 345–386.

[Mo] K. Mohnke, *Holomorphic disks and the chord conjecture*, Ann. of Math. **(2) 154** (2001), **no. 1**, 219–222.

[Rit] A. Ritter, *Topological quantum field theory structure on symplectic cohomology*, J. Topol. **6** (2013), **no. 2**, 391–489.

[Sa1] S. Sandon, *On iterated translated points for contactomorphisms of* R^{2n+1} *and* $R^{2n} \times S^1$, Internat. J. Math. **23** (2012), **no. 2**, 1250042, 14 pp.

[Sa2] S. Sandon, *A Morse estimate for translated points of contactomorphisms of spheres and projective spaces*, Geom. Dedicata **165** (2013), 95–110.

[Se] P. Seidel, *Graded Lagrangian submanifolds*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **128** (2000), **no. 1**, 103–149.

[SZ] J. Swoboda and F. Ziltener, *Coisotropic Displacement and Small Subsets of a Symplectic Manifold*, Math. Z., Vol. **271**, Iss. **1** (2012), p. 415–445.

[Zi] F. Ziltener, *Coisotropic Submanifolds, Leafwise Fixed Points, and Presymplectic Embeddings*, J. Symplectic Geom. **8** (2010), no. **1**, 1–24.